

Quellenübung

Ansichten eines Softwareentwicklers

Die folgenden Aussagen zur Rolle von KI in seiner Berufspraxis stammen von dem britischen Softwareentwickler Tibi, mittels dessen 3D-Viewer das Modell der akademischen Basiskompetenzen von allen Seiten betrachtet werden kann. Seine Ansichten äußerte er im Austausch mit Monika Oertner im Zuge der Erstellung ihres Modells. Einer Verwendung als Lehrmaterial hat er zugestimmt (14.7.2026).



Bearbeitungsschritte

- 1) Lesen Sie den Text und markieren Sie wichtige Aussagen.
- 2) Exzerpieren Sie Tibis Ansichten. Gibt es Punkte, an denen Sie ihm widersprechen möchten? Notieren Sie sich Gegenargumente.
- 3) Diskutieren Sie in der Gruppe: Was bedeutete Tibis Bericht für Ihre eigenen Berufsaussichten?

“About AI, we need to adapt and find balance. I use it daily now, but I am always in control, as soon as you let it go wild, the game is over ... For example, I am working on a new project now and I have to create a documentation that would have taken days, and I hate doing this kind of work. With AI, it took me ten minutes while watching YouTube ... So, it is really helpful!

Not everything about AI is bad. For me, it's a great help, but I'm a senior developer with 30 years of experience, so I know what I'm doing. For juniors, though, this can become a disaster, because they may build things without truly understanding them, and that's dangerous.

My advice about AI is: Don't fight it — embrace it, because it's not going away. It will only get smarter and cheaper over time. Instead, as I said, find balance and make sure that when you use it, you understand what it does. If you follow it blindly, then whatever you do, will most likely end up in a disaster.

For me, the biggest issue is that these greedy CEOs want to replace humanity with AI, and that is a serious problem. We need to stand against this.

As for students: They should not be allowed to use AI at all but use their brains! This is really dangerous: The idea of making something with AI without understanding anything. This will never work; you need to be a specialist in the field on which you are using AI. For that you need to go through the grind and learn your trade. There is no other way around, and this will not change, no matter how good AI gets.

For example, in coding, this evil billionaire CEO [Dario Amodei, 11.3.2025] said that within a maximum of six months all engineers would be replaced by AI. The exact opposite happened — there is now a huge demand for senior developers who can work with AI and guide it effectively. This will apply to all trades: No matter how good AI becomes, you still need to be skilled at your job to make it work properly.

As for junior developers: Nobody wants to hire them anymore, because it is more profitable to have a few senior developers and AI, but what will happen with them? Things need to change at the core, and it needs to get much worse, until it will get better. If it continues like this, nobody will go to school anymore. There is no point if nobody will hire them, and we will end up being like rats at the mercy of a few evil rich men.

I know things will get better. The human race is amazing when we all work together, and at some point, we'll say: “Enough with this bullshit.” It's already happening in some areas.

AI should work to help us be better, it should not replace us. But the human greed has no limits, these CEOs would take our souls if they could.

I am not sure if AI is the direct issue, because AI can't do what I do, not even close. Without me, it is nothing. I think we are in a really deep recession, and after this summer [2026] we will feel it hard everywhere.

The AI propaganda is mostly a lie. I can tell that as a developer. Yes, it can write code, but it needs my guidance as an engineer. It's like driving a really fancy spaceship — the better you are as a pilot, the better you fly it. This will never change. In all niches/jobs, experience and skill will matter.

The issue is that most companies are managed by businessmen that are not the ones who do the job, and they buy this propaganda shit until reality hits, and it hits hard, believe me, most companies that adopted AI now are switching back to humans in control and partially AI, because it is too expensive!

Let me give you an example. A while back, I decided to vibe-code a project. It was really complex because it is using low-level programming, shaders on the GPU to create some transitions. AI got me up to about 90%. I was like: "Wow, this is really cool! I can literally prompt and watch a movie in the meantime." My mistake was that I did not follow the code. When I got to that 90%, a bug occurred, and I fought it for about a week. I spent about \$ 600 only for AI to make that bug a super bug. Trying to fix it, it kept adding code and logic, and when I tried to go into the code to understand what was going on, it was impossible. Not to mention that after one week of prompting, it was so depressing, I wanted to give up every second.

Long story short, the project was lost — unfixable, unreadable, uneverything. I ended up making it from scratch and only used AI in small sections when I had some complex shader code. This will never change, no matter how good AI gets. There is just too much context involved in creating an app, and this applies to most jobs, including teaching. If students choose to cheat with AI, they will pay the price for it hard, and I am not talking about passing an exam, I am talking about life, when you need to do your job and you are paralyzed because you literally know nothing!

Keep your spirit up! Things will calibrate as this madness continues ...“ (Tibi, London, Mai 2026)